### **NOTICE OF PUBLIC WORKSHOP**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Department of Health and Human Services will hold a public workshop to consider amendments to Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 439.

The workshop will be conducted via videoconference beginning at 9:00 AM on Thursday, February 15, 2018, at the following locations:

Nevada Legislative Counsel Bureau	Nevada Legislative Counsel Bureau
401 S. Carson St.	555 E. Washington Ave. #5100
Room 3137	Room 4412E
Carson City, NV 89701	Las Vegas, NV 89101
Great Basin College 1500 College Parkway Elko, NV 89801	

These workshops will be conducted in accordance with NRS 241.020, Nevada's Open Meeting Law.

### AGENDA

- 1. Introduction of workshop process
- 2. Public comment on proposed amendments to Nevada Administrative Code Chapter 439
- 3. Public Comment

The proposed changes will revise Chapter 439 of the Nevada Administrative Code and are being proposed in accordance with NRS 439.905, NRS 439.915 and Senate Bill 539 of the 2017 Legislative Session.

The proposed regulations provide provisions for the following:

- 1) Drug transparency reporting.
- 2) Establishes guidelines for prescription drug manufacturer yearly reporting.
- 3) Establishes guidelines for pharmacy benefit managers yearly reporting.
- 4) Establishes guidelines for pharmaceutical sales representative yearly reporting.

Members of the public may make oral comments at this meeting. Persons wishing to submit written testimony or documentary evidence may submit the material to Veronica Sheldon, Management Analyst at the following address:

> Department of Health and Human Services 4126 Technology Way, Suite 100 Carson City, NV 89706

### drugtransparency@dhhs.nv.gov

Members of the public who require special accommodations or assistance at the workshops are required to notify Veronica Sheldon, Management Analyst, in writing to the Department of Health and Human Services, 4126 Technology Way, Suite 100, Carson City, Nevada, 89706, or by calling (775) 684-4255 at least five (5) working days prior to the date of the public workshop.

You may contact Veronica Sheldon, Management Analyst by calling (775) 684-4255 for further information on the proposed regulations.

A copy of the notice and the proposed regulations are on file for inspection and/or may be copied at the following locations during normal business hours:

Department of Health and Human Services 4126 Technology Way Carson City, NV Division of Public and Behavioral Health 4220 S. Maryland Parkway, Suite 810, Bldg D Las Vegas, NV

Nevada State Library and Archives 100 Stewart Street Carson City, NV

A copy of the regulations and small business impact statement can be found on the Department of Health and Human Services web page:

### http://dhhs.nv.gov/HCPWD/Drug\_Transparency/

A copy of the public workshop notice can also be found at Nevada Legislature's web page: <u>https://www.leg.state.nv.us/App/Notice/A/</u>

Copies may be obtained in person, by mail, or by calling the Department of Health and Human Services at (775) 684-4255 in Carson City.

A copy of this notice has been posted at the following locations:

- 1. Department of Health and Human Services, 4126 Technology Way, First Floor Lobby, Carson City
- 2. Nevada State Library and Archives, 100 Stewart Street, Carson City
- 3. Legislative Building, 401 S. Carson Street, Carson City
- 4. Grant Sawyer Building, 555 E. Washington Avenue, Las Vegas
- 5. Early Intervention Services, 1020 Ruby Vista Drive, Suite 102, Elko, NV 89801
- 6. Division of Child and Family Services, 2655 Enterprise Rd, Reno, NV 89512

### On the Internet at:

https://notice.nv.gov or http://dhhs.nv.gov/HCPWD/Drug\_Transparency/

Copies may also be obtained from any of the public libraries listed below:

Carson City Library	Churchill County Library	
900 North Roop Street	553 South Main Street	
Carson City, NV 89702	Fallon, NV 89406	
Clark County District Library	Douglas County Library	
833 Las Vegas Boulevard North	1625 Library Lane	
Las Vegas, NV 89101	Minden, NV 89423	

Elko County Library 720 Court Street Elko, NV 89801

Eureka Branch Library 210 South Monroe Street Eureka, NV 89316-0283

Humboldt County Library 85 East 5<sup>th</sup> Street Winnemucca, NV 89445-3095

Lincoln County Library 93 Maine Street Pioche, NV 89043-0330

Mineral County Library 110 1<sup>st</sup> Street Hawthorne, NV 89415-1390

Pershing County Library 1125 Central Avenue Lovelock, NV 89419-0781

Tonopah Public Library 167 Central Street Tonopah, NV 89049-0449

White Pine County Library 950 Campton Street Ely, NV 89301-1965 Esmeralda County Library Corner of Crook and 4<sup>th</sup> Street Goldfield, NV 89013-0484

Henderson District Public Library 280 South Water Street Henderson, NV 89105

Lander County Library 625 South Broad Street Battle Mountain, NV 89820-0141

Lyon County Library 20 Nevin Way Yerington, NV 89447-2399

Pahrump Library District 701 East Street Pahrump, NV 89041-0578

Storey County Library 95 South R Street Virginia City, NV 89440-0014

Washoe County Library 301 South Center Street Reno, NV 89505-2151

Per NRS 233B.064(2), upon adoption of any regulations, the agency, if requested to do so by an interested person, either prior to adoption or within 30 days thereafter, shall issue a concise statement of the principal reasons for and against its adoption, and incorporate therein its reason for overruling the consideration urged against its adoption.

### SMALL BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT 2018

### **PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO NAC 439**

The Nevada Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) has determined that the proposed amendments should not impose an economic burden upon a small business or have a negative impact on the formation, operation or expansion of a small business in Nevada.

A small business is defined in Nevada Revised Statutes NRS 233B as a "business conducted for profit which employs fewer than 150 full-time or part-time employees."

This small business impact statement is made pursuant to NRS 233B.0608 (3) and complies with the requirements of NRS 233B.0609. As required by NRS 233B.0608(3), this statement identifies the methods used by the agency in determining the impact of the proposed regulation on a small business in sections 1, 2, 3, and 4 below and provides the reasons for the conclusions of the agency in section 8 below followed by certification by the person responsible for the agency.

#### **Background**

Senate Bill 539 was recently passed in June 2017 to compile a list of essential diabetes medications and obtain information related to these medications from drug manufacturers, pharmaceutical benefit managers (PBM), and pharmaceutical sales representatives. DHHS drafted regulations that further detail drug manufacturer, PBM, and pharmaceutical sales representative reporting requirements. The draft regulations also outline the process for drug manufacturers and PBMs to request of DHHS that data elements be declared confidential under the Defend Trade Secrets Act (DTSA). Lastly, the draft regulations define the notification and consent process for confidential data requests received by DHHS.

1) A description of the manner in which comment was solicited from affected small businesses, a summary of their response and an explanation of the manner in which other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

Pursuant to NRS 233B.0608 (2)(a), DHHS has requested input from all known stakeholders.

A Small Business Impact Questionnaire was distributed along with a copy of the proposed regulation changes, using the following organization websites, listservs and social media platforms:

- DHHS Drug Transparency webpage (January 4, 2018)
- Nevada Board of Pharmacy website (January 9, 2018)
- Bureau of Health Care Quality and Compliance Nevada health facilities listserv (January 8, 2018)
- Nevada Medical Association website (January 5, 2018)
- Indian Health Services, Nevada Service Units (January 4, 2018)
- Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Facebook page (January 5, 2018)
- Nevada Division of Public and Behavioral Health Facebook page (January 5, 2018)

- Nevada Primary Care Association newsletter (January 8, 2018)
- Nevada Department of Health and Human Services Twitter page (January 5, 2018)

The questions on the questionnaire were:

- 1) How many employees are currently employed by your business?
- 2) Will a specific regulation have an adverse economic effect upon your business?
- 3) Will the regulation(s) have any beneficial effect upon your business?
- 4) Do you anticipate any indirect adverse effects upon your business?
- 5) Do you anticipate any indirect beneficial effects upon your business?

### Summary of Comments Received (11 total responses were received)

One respondent answered "yes", stating "It will cost anSix respondents answered "no" and five skippedTwo respondents answered "yes". One stated "Higher costs of medication for my and five skippedSix respondent answered "no" and five skipped	e any l oon iness?
additional \$5,000 in staff time to prepare this report. This is only adding to the mountain of paperwork involved in running this business and will not help my business, but only burden it with more redundant paperwork."clients due to additional 	"no" kipped

Will a specific regulation have an adverse economic effect upon your business?	Will the regulation (s) have any beneficial effect upon your business?	Do you anticipate any indirect adverse effects upon your business?	Do you anticipate any indirect beneficial effects upon your business?
		"Not unless the cost of the medication goes up for the person taking it."	

### 2) Describe the manner in which the analysis was conducted.

The Small Business Impact Questionnaire was embedded in an online survey with a link to the proposed amendments to regulation, and distributed to the stakeholders identified above. Responses were reviewed individually and collectively to determine potential impacts of the proposed amendments. Staff have reviewed the regulations to ensure there is not a negative impact on small business.

# 3) The estimated economic effect of the proposed regulation on the small business which it is to regulate including, without limitation both adverse and beneficial effects and both direct and indirect effects.

Based on a preliminary analysis, DHHS does not anticipate adverse or beneficial direct or indirect economic effects from the proposed regulation on small businesses. Direct or indirect adverse or beneficial economic effects will be better determined by DHHS after the workshop scheduled for February 15, 2018.

## 4) Provide a description of the methods that the agency considered to reduce the impact of the proposed regulation on small businesses and a statement regarding whether the agency actually used any of those methods.

DHHS designed the information requests to mirror reports already submitted federally by organizations impacted by the proposed regulation. This will significantly reduce the resources needed to prepare and submit these reports. DHHS will also be holding a workshop on February 15, 2018 allowing for further input by stakeholders regarding the proposed regulations and how they will impact small businesses. These comments will be taken into consideration if any potential negative impact is identified.

### 5) The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation.

No new costs are anticipated for enforcement of the proposed regulation.

## 6) If the proposed regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount DPBH expects to collect and the manner in which the money will be used.

The proposed regulation does not provide a new fee or increase an existing fee.

7) An explanation of why any duplicative or more stringent provisions than federal, state or local standards regulating the same activity are necessary.

The proposed regulation does not include duplicative or more stringent provisions than the Nevada Revised Statutes.

## 8) Provide a summary of the reasons for the conclusions of the agency regarding the impact of a regulation on small businesses.

The response rate to the small business impact questionnaire was relatively low, which may be a reflection that stakeholders do not anticipate significant impacts from the proposed regulation. The majority of the respondents indicated no direct or indirect positive or negative impacts from the proposed regulation. Three of the responses reported anticipated negative impacts, with two referencing increased costs due to report preparation. DHHS designed the information requests to mirror reports already submitted federally by organizations impacted by the proposed regulation. This will significantly reduce the resources needed to prepare and submit these reports. The last anticipated negative impact reported by a respondent on the survey stated that the regulation would impose restrictions on the choice of health care and on the choice of available diabetic medications for Nevada residents. The proposed regulation in no way restricts the choice of health care or the choice of available diabetic medications for Nevada regulation and the responses received from the small business impact questionnaire, DHHS has determined that the proposed amendments should not impose an economic burden upon a small business or have a negative impact on the formation, operation or expansion of a small business in Nevada.

Any other persons interested in obtaining a copy of the summary may e-mail, call, or mail in a request to Veronica Sheldon at the Nevada Department of Health and Human Services at:

Department of Health and Human Services 4126 Technology Way, Suite 100 Carson City, NV 89701 Veronica Sheldon Phone: (775) 684-4255 Email: drugtransparency@dhhs.nv.gov

### Certification by Person Responsible for the Agency

I, Julie Kotchevar, Deputy Director of the Nevada Department of Health and Human Services certify to the best of my knowledge or belief, a concerted effort was made to determine the impact of the proposed regulation on small businesses and the information contained in this statement was prepared properly and is accurate.

Signature July Kitettute Date: 1-29-18